Library

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 LAYRITE CONCRETE PRODUCTS OF SEATTLE, INC., PCHB No. 799 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER CONTROL AGENCY, 3 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for alleged smoke emissions in violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 7th day of July, 1975, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant, Layrite Concrete Products of Seattle, Inc., appearing through its president, Verne Frese and respondent, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board member present at the hearing being Chris Smith and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein

10

11

12

13

14

15

and the contentions of the parties and having entered on the 9th day 1 of July, 1975, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 2 Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions 3 and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt 4 requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 5 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed 6 Findings, Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised 7 8 in the premises; now therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 9th day of July, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein.

DONE at Lacey, Washington, this // to day of August, 1975.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

174₃ 1 1

CHRIS SMITH, Chairman

W. A. GISSBERG, Member

26 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND

27 ORDER

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 1 I, LaRene Barlin, certify that I deposited in the United States 2 mail, copies of the foregoing document on the 3 , 1975, to each of the following-named parties, at the 4 last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed 5 to the respective envelopes: 6 7 Mr. Verne Frese, President Layrite Concrete Products of Seattle, Inc. 8 P. O. Box 80426 Seattle, Washington 98108 9 Mr. Keith D. McGoffin 10 Burkey, Marsico, Rovai, McGoffin, Turner and Mason 11 P. O. Box 5217 Tacoma, Washington 98405 12 いじじょ 3 14 15 16 BARLIN 17 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

27 AND ORDER

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF LAYRITE CONCRETE PRODUCTS OF SEATTLE, INC., PCHB No. 799 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, v. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9 10

This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for alleged smoke emissions in violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Chris Smith, Chairman, at a formal hearing in Seattle on July 7, 1975. David Akana, Hearing Examiner, presided.

Appellant was represented by Verne Frese, its President; respondent was represented by and through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin. Jennie Roland, Olympia court reporter, recorded the testimony.

EXHIBIT A

11

12

13

15

16

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974, 3d Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I provides that it shall be unlawful to cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant for more than three minutes in any one hour, which emission is darker than No. 2 (40 percent density) on the Ringelmann Chart. Section 3.29 provides for a civil penalty of up to \$250 per day for each violation of Regulation I. Section 9.16 provides for certain exceptions for violations of Regulation I.

Appellant operates a concrete products plant located at 7265 East Marginal Way South in Seattle. At this plant, appellant maintains and operates a boiler for use in its business.

IV.

On January 6, 1975, while on patrol, respondent's inspector observed black smoke emitting from appellant's boiler stack. The inspector took a reading of the smoke emissions for a period of six consecutive minutes. During such period, the density was recorded as 2-3/4 to 3-1/2 on the Ringelmann Chart. Notice of Violation No. 10342 was issued to appellant

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

from which Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1896, assessing a fine of \$50, followed. This penalty is the subject matter of this appeal.

٧.

Appellant's plant normally uses natural gas. On the day of the violation, this source of fuel was interrupted with only one hour's notice to appellant. Normally, appellant was given about five hour's notice of the interruption. To continue production, appellant ordered fuel oil. The oil, which was delivered cold, was immediately substituted. This fuel conversion resulted in the smoke emissions observed by respondent's inspector.

Appellant has subsequently modified its equipment to guard against repeated occurrences of this nature. An electric oil heater and an oil filter were installed at a cost of about \$530.

VI.

Appellant, through its then supervisor, had knowledge of respondent's reporting procedures providing for exemptions from penalties under Section 9.16. Appellant did not avail itself of this provision.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

On January 6, 1975 appellant violated Section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I. Because appellant did not report its problem to respondent as provided for in Section 9.16, it cannot avail itself of this section FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

 24

II. 1 Appellant has taken reasonable steps to prevent the reoccurrence of 2 3 the same violation. III. 4 The penalty assessed is reasonable. 5 6 IV. Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is 7 8 hereby adopted as such. 9 From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board 10 enters this 11 ORDER 12 The appeal is denied, but the \$50 penalty is suspended pending no - 1 similar violation of Regulation I by appellant for a period of six months from the date this Order becomes final. 14 15 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 16 17 18 19 20 2122 23 24 25 FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

5 F No 9928-A